

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/501, 179	
Examiner CANELLA	Art Unit 1642	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) K. CANELLA / A. CAPUTA (PTO) (3) Dr. Paul Ts'o
 (2) Lawrence Carroll (4) Dr Lasko
 Date of Interview 6/12/03 Judy Kim

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
 c) Personal [copy is given to 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If yes, brief description:

Claim(s) discussed: _____

Identification of prior art discussed:

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

This application is incorrectly in after-final status, and will be changed upon entry of applicant's amendment. Discussed amendment to claims which will overcome the outstanding 112 41 1st rejection.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Karen J. Canella
Examiner's signature, if required